ACCESS TO JUSTICE FOR CHILDREN: COUNTRY REPORT CARD 1

India

I. Legal Status of the Convention on the Rights of the	
Child (CRC)	
A. CRC takes precedence over conflicting legislation (/10) (no = 0; partial = 5; yes = 10)	0
B. CRC has been incorporated into national law (/10) (no = 0; partial = 5; yes = 10)	0
C. CRC is directly enforceable in domestic courts (/10) (no = 0; interpretive guidance = 3; some direct, some interpretive guidance = 6; yes = 10)	3
D. CRC has been applied in legal proceedings (/10) (no = 0; not systematically = 5; systematically = 10)	10
E. OP3 is ratified (/5) (no = 0; yes = 5)	0
SCORE /40:	13

II. Legal Status of the Child	
A. Full range of legal claims is available to challenge rights	
violations (/20)	
Specific standards: (2.5 each)	
 violations can be challenged even if they are not crimes 	2.5
 can bring private prosecutions where the State will not carry out a criminal prosecution itself 	2.5
can challenge deprivation of liberty and/or lawfulness of detention	2.5
mechanisms exist to investigate allegations against officials	2.5
Independent bodies capable of taking complaints before or on behalf of children: (2 each)	
 Independent institution exists with mandate that covers the protection and promotion of children's rights 	2
 There is a specific department or person within the relevant institution that specifically deals with children's rights 	2
 Institution is empowered to receive and address complaints in relation to violations of children's rights 	2
Institution has a transparent appointment procedure	2
 Institution is empowered to review State's progress in realising children's rights 	0
B. Children can bring cases alone or via a representative (/7.5)	
Case can be brought in child's name (no = 0; yes = 2.5)	2.5
Is generally not required to bring proceedings through a guardian ad litem or litigation friend (no = 0; yes = 2.5)	0
No conflict of interests in appointments of representatives (no = 0; yes = 2.5)	2.5

¹ This report card is based on CRIN's Access to Justice for Children: Model Report, available at www.crin.org/en/node/31972/.

1

C. Parents/guardians can bring cases on behalf of very young children (/10)		
Parents' ability to act on behalf of child is tempered by the principle of the best interests of the child (no = 0; partial = 5; yes = 10)		
D. Children/representatives are eligible for free legal assistance		
(/30)		
Settings: (2 each)		
• civil	2	
criminal	2	
administrative	2	
family	2	
all other judicial settings	2	
Right to a lawyer of own choosing (no = 0; yes = 5)	0	
Right to lawyer with experience commensurate with nature of claim / offence (no = 0; yes = 5)	0	
Right to legal aid throughout the criminal process from arrest to trial and appeal (no = 0; partial = 5; yes = 10)	5	
E. No further legal limitations/conditions on	5	
children/representatives bringing, running or settling cases (/5)		
(deduct 1 for each limitation/condition)		
SCORE /72.5:	46	

III. Challenging Children's Rights Violations	
A. Children have complete access to all courts, complaints	
mechanisms (/10)	
Settings: (2.5 each)	
criminal	2.5
• civil	2.5
administrative	2.5
 informal, customary or alternative justice mechanisms available 	0
where appropriate	
B. Courts have broad powers to remedy rights violations (/16)	
Remedies: (2 each)	
restitution	2
compensation	2
stop the enforcement of a law	2
 stop the enforcement of subsidiary legislation 	2
 order government to take steps to prevent a violation 	2
 launch investigation or bring proceedings at the court's initiative 	2 ²³
guarantee non-repetition	2
repeal of law (partial = 1)	2
C. Widespread violations can be challenged without naming	
individual victims (/10)	
Named victims are not required (no = 0; partial = 5; yes = 10)	5
D. Children can file group litigation to challenge multiple	

² Technically it can order an investigation/inquiry to be carried out by other bodies, but I haven't found anything in which the court launches an investigation itself. See e.g. http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 https://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 https://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 https://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-10/india-top-court-orders-federal-probe-into-job-scandal/66 <a href="https://www.abc

³ Public interest litigation may be brought by the court itself ("suo motu").

violations (/10)	
Group and collective litigation available (no = 0; partial (selected types of actions only) = 2.5; yes = 7.5)	7.5
Courts have the power to combine cases to offer clear, consistent pronouncements of the law (no = 0; yes = 2.5)	2.5
E. Non-governmental organisations can file, intervene in cases	
(/10)	
Can file (no = 0; yes = 7.5)	7.5
Can intervene (no = 0; yes = 2.5)	2.5
SCORE /56:	48.5

IV. Practical Considerations	
A. Venue (/5)	
Formal restrictions relaxed as necessary and appropriate (no = 0;	2.5
partial = 2.5; yes = 5)	
B. Legal aid / costs (/5)	
Court fees and case related expenses are not payable (no = 0; yes = 5)	5
C. Pro-bono / financing (/10)	
Active legal and bar associations that offer pro-bono representation (no	5
= 0; yes = 5)	
Systematic procedures to promote pro-bono (no = 0; yes = 5)	5
D. Timing (/10)	
Limitation periods do not begin to run until a child reaches the age of 18 (no = 0; yes =5)	5
No time limitation period for "serious violations of international	0
humanitarian law" (no = 0; yes = 5)	
E. Evidence (/7.5)	
Children may testify or give evidence in court proceedings (no = 0; yes	2.5
= 2.5)	
Opportunity to give evidence not under oath where this is not	2.5
understood (no = 0; yes = 2.5)	
Child friendly procedures to facilitate the giving of evidence (no = 0; yes	0
= 2.5)	
F. Resolution (/10)	
Cases involving children are resolved without undue delay (no = 0;	5
partial = 5; yes = 10)	
G. Appeal (/10)	
Children have right to appeal (no = 0; partial = 5; yes = 10)	10
H. Impact and follow-up (/10)	
Judicial decisions are enforced and respected (no = 0; partial = 5; yes =	5
10)	
I. Privacy (/10)	
Privacy of children involved in legal proceedings guaranteed by law;	10
public can be excluded; personal details unpublished (no = 0; yes = 10)	
J. Right to be heard (/10)	
Explicit provisions in place to guarantee children's right to be heard and	0
for his or her views to be taken into account during legal proceedings	
(no = 0; partial = 5; yes = 10)	
SCORE /87.5:	57.5

TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE /270 = 167